

Non-Hermitian time-dependent quantum systems with real energies

A. de Souza Dutra*, M. B. Hott
UNESP-Campus de Guaratinguetá-DFQ
Av. Dr. Ariberto Pereira Cunha, 333
P. O. Box 205
12516-410 Guaratinguetá, SP, Brasil

V. G. C. S. dos Santos
Departamento de Física, Centro Tecnológico da Aeronáutica,
Centro Técnico Aeroespacial, 12.228-900,
S. J. Campos, SP, Brasil

February 1, 2008

Abstract

In this work we intend to study a class of time-dependent quantum systems with non-Hermitian Hamiltonians, particularly those whose Hermitian counterpart are important for the comprehension of posed problems in quantum optics and quantum chemistry, which consists of an oscillator with time-dependent mass and frequency under the action of a time-dependent imaginary potential. The propagator for a general time-dependence of the parameters and the wave-functions are obtained explicitly for constant frequency and mass and a linear time-dependence in the potential. The wave-functions are used to obtain the expectation value of the Hamiltonian. Although it is neither Hermitean nor PT symmetric, the case under study exhibits real values of energy.

*E-mail: dutra@feg.unesp.br

In the last few years, the so called PT symmetric systems introduced in the seminal paper of Bender and Boettcher [1] has attracted much attention. They consist of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians with real eigenvalues, which however exhibit parity and time-reversal symmetries or, in other words, when one makes the space-time inversion ($P : x \rightarrow -x$; $T : t \rightarrow -t$, $i \rightarrow -i$). In fact, following reference [2], the time-reversal operation $T\psi(x, t) = \psi^*(x, -t)$, keeps the Schroedinger equation covariant even for time-dependent Hamiltonians [3], [4]. Besides, there exist other classes of Hamiltonians having real spectra without being PT symmetric, as can be seen, for instance, in references [5]-[6], and systems where the PT symmetry is spontaneously broken [7], leading to complex energy eigenvalues.

Most of the papers dedicated to the subject refers to time-independent systems and stationary states. Despite an enormous list of works devoted to the developing and the understanding of this new kind of situation [1, 5-12], as far as we know, no one has discussed the case of time-dependent systems, which are very important for the comprehension of many problems in quantum optics, quantum chemistry and others areas of physics [13]-[28]. In particular we can cite the case of the electromagnetic field intensities in a Fabry-Pérot cavity [16]. In fact this kind of problem constitutes a line of investigation which still attracts the interest of physicists [25]-[28].

Here we intend to solve a class of time-dependent quantum system with non-Hermitian Hamiltonians, particularly those which consist of an oscillator with time-dependent mass and frequency, plus a linear non-Hermitian term with time-dependent coupling. After that, we will discuss the effect of that time-dependence on the reality of the spectrum, versus the PT symmetry, by treating a particular case. The system to be studied is represented by the one-dimensional non-Hermitian Hamiltonian, namely

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m(t)} + \frac{m(t)\omega^2(t)}{2}x^2 + i\lambda(t)x. \quad (1)$$

which in principle can preserve the PT symmetry, depending on the time-dependence of their parameters. In fact it is easy to realize that the PT symmetry imposes that $\lambda(t)$ must be an even function of time for $m(t)$ and $\omega^2(t)$ symmetric under time-reversal.

The corresponding Schroedinger equation is written as

$$-\frac{1}{2m(t)}\frac{\partial^2\psi(x, t)}{\partial x^2} + \left[\frac{1}{2}m(t)\omega(t)^2x^2 + i\lambda(t)x\right]\psi(x, t) = i\frac{\partial\psi(x, t)}{\partial t}, \quad (2)$$

where $m(t)$, $\omega(t)$ and $\lambda(t)$ are time-dependent functions (We set $\hbar = 1$ for a while).

Usually the time-independent forced harmonic oscillator is solved by means of a translation of the coordinates. When we deal with a time-dependent forced harmonic oscillator one can check that a trivial translation of the coordinates $x = y - i\frac{\lambda(t)}{2m(t)\omega^2(t)}$ does not lead to a harmonic oscillator with a time-dependent mass and frequency because we are still left with a first order derivative term in y and an additional purely time-dependent term (see Eq. (5) below).

To show that the Schroedinger equation (2) can be mapped into an evident exactly solvable equation we appeal to a generalization of transformations, which involves a change of variable and time reparametrization, used before in several time-dependent systems [17], [19], [21], namely

$$x = s(\tau)y + i\eta(\tau), \quad (3)$$

where τ is a single-valued function related to the original time t by

$$\tau(t) = \int^t \mu(\xi) d\xi, \quad \frac{d\tau(t)}{dt} = \mu(t). \quad (4)$$

In the transformation (3), η is a real function and y is necessarily complex to keep the original physical variable x real. After the transformation of variables we are led to the following equation

$$i\mu \frac{\partial \psi(y, \tau)}{\partial \tau} - i\frac{\mu}{s} [\dot{s}y + i\dot{\eta}] \frac{\partial \psi(y, \tau)}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2ms^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi(y, \tau)}{\partial y^2} - \left[\frac{1}{2}m\omega^2(s^2y^2 + 2is\eta y - \eta^2) + i\lambda sy - \lambda\eta \right] \psi(y, \tau) = 0. \quad (5)$$

At this point, in order to get the Schroedinger equation in the new variables, we introduce a wavefunction redefinition

$$\psi(y, \tau) = \exp[i f(y, \tau)] \sigma(y, \tau), \quad (6)$$

which once substituted in the above equation gives us

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ i\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \frac{1}{2ms^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} - \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2s^2 \left[y^2 + \frac{2i}{s} \left(\eta + \frac{\lambda}{m\omega^2} \right) y - \frac{\eta}{s^2} \left(\eta + \frac{2\lambda}{m\omega^2} \right) \right] + \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{2ms^2} \left[i \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} - \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] + \mu \frac{\dot{s}}{s} y \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + i \frac{\dot{\eta}\mu}{m\omega^2s} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - \mu \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} \Big\} \sigma(y, \tau) + \\ & + \left[i \frac{1}{ms^2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - i\mu \frac{\dot{s}}{s} y + \frac{\dot{\eta}\mu}{s} \right] \frac{\partial \sigma(y, \tau)}{\partial y} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to τ . Now we choose conveniently the arbitrary function $f(y, \tau)$ to guarantee that the coefficient of the term $\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial y}$ vanishes identically. In doing so we get

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = m\mu s \dot{s} y + im s \mu \dot{\eta}, \quad (8)$$

which has as a general solution

$$f(y, \tau) = \frac{1}{2} m\mu s \dot{s} y^2 + im s \mu \dot{\eta} y + f_\tau(\tau), \quad (9)$$

with $f_\tau(\tau)$ an arbitrary function of the rescaled time τ , which is still to be determined by some convenient imposition. Now, substituting this function into the equation (7), one can rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ i\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \frac{1}{2ms^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\mu}{2} \left[\frac{m\omega^2s^2}{\mu} + \frac{d}{d\tau} (m\mu s \dot{s}) - \mu m \dot{s}^2 \right] y^2 + \right. \\ & - i\mu \left[\frac{m\omega^2s}{\mu} \left(\eta + \frac{\lambda}{m\omega^2} \right) + \frac{d}{d\tau} (ms\mu \dot{\eta}) \right] y + \\ & + \frac{i}{2} \mu \frac{\dot{s}}{s} - \mu \frac{\partial f_\tau}{\partial \tau} + \frac{1}{2} m\omega^2 \eta \left(\eta + \frac{2\lambda}{m\omega^2} \right) - \frac{m\mu^2 \dot{\eta}^2}{2} \Big\} \sigma(y, \tau) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We choose the $f_\tau(\tau)$ and impose some constraints on the functions $s(\tau)$, $\eta(\tau)$ and $\mu(\tau)$ in order to leave the effective potential depending only on y^2 . That is $f_\tau(\tau)$ must to be such that

$$\frac{\partial f_\tau}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{i\dot{s}}{s} + \frac{m\omega^2\eta}{\mu} \left(\eta + \frac{2\lambda}{m\omega^2} \right) - \mu m \dot{\eta}^2 \right], \quad (10)$$

whose solution can be cast into the form below without loss of generality

$$f_\tau(\tau) = i \ln \left(s^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int^\tau \left[\frac{m(\xi)\omega(\xi)^2\eta(\xi)}{\mu(\xi)} \left(\eta(\xi) + \frac{2\lambda(\xi)}{m(\xi)\omega(\xi)^2} \right) - m(\xi)\mu(\xi) \dot{\eta}(\xi)^2 \right] d\xi. \quad (11)$$

Besides $s(\tau)$, $\eta(\tau)$ and $\mu(\tau)$ have to satisfy the equation

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} (ms\mu \dot{\eta}) = -\frac{m\omega^2 s}{\mu} \left(\eta + \frac{\lambda}{m\omega^2} \right). \quad (12)$$

which allow us to write the corresponding Schroedinger equation in the form

$$\left[i\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \frac{1}{2ms^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} - \frac{1}{2}ms^2(\omega^2 + \Omega^2)y^2 \right] \sigma(y, \tau) = 0, \quad (13)$$

where

$$\Omega^2 \equiv \frac{\mu}{ms^2} \frac{d}{d\tau} (m\mu s \dot{s}) - \left(\mu \frac{\dot{s}}{s} \right)^2. \quad (14)$$

Until now, the functions $s(\tau)$ and $\mu(\tau)$ remains arbitrary since equation (12) constrains $\eta(\tau)$ in terms of $s(\tau)$ and $\mu(\tau)$. Then we use this arbitrariness to get a simpler equation to this problem. One obvious possibility is choosing them in order to get a time-independent Schroedinger equation for $\sigma(y, \tau)$

$$\mu \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \frac{1}{2m_0} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} - \frac{1}{2}m_0\omega_0^2 y^2 \right) \sigma(y, \tau) = 0, \quad (15)$$

where it can be seen that the time dependence was factorized, implying that

$$ms^2\mu = m_0 = \text{const.}; \quad \frac{ms^2}{\mu} (\omega^2 + \Omega^2) = m_0\omega_0^2 = \text{const.} \quad (16)$$

From the above equations one can see that we have transformed the original problem into that of an usual harmonic oscillator with constant mass and frequency. At this point we are able to calculate the propagator for the system, once it is a special solution of the Schroedinger equation, subject to the restriction

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} K(x, t; x_0, t_0) = \delta(x - x_0). \quad (17)$$

Now, remembering that in this case the wavefunction is written as

$$\psi(x, t) = e^{if(y, \tau)} \sigma(y, \tau) \Big|_{y=x/s(\tau)-i\eta(\tau)/s(\tau), \tau=\tau(t)}, \quad (18)$$

and finally using that

$$\psi(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x, t; x_0, t_0) \psi(x_0, t_0), \quad (19)$$

it is easy to conclude that the propagator will come from [19]

$$K(x, t; x_0, t_0) = e^{if(y, \tau)} K(\bar{x}, \tau; \bar{x}_0, \tau_0) e^{-if^*(y_0, \tau_0)} \Big|_{y=(x-i\eta(\tau))/s(\tau), \tau=\tau(t)}, \quad (20)$$

where $f^*(y_0, \tau_0)$ stands for the complex conjugate evaluated at initial time and coordinate. Now using the well known expression of the propagator of the harmonic oscillator [29], one obtains

$$K(x, t; x_0, t_0) = \left\{ \frac{m_0 \omega_0}{2\pi i \hbar s s_0 \sin[\omega_0(\tau - \tau_0)]} \right\}^{1/2} \exp \frac{i}{2\hbar} \left\{ \frac{m \dot{s} y^2}{s} - \frac{m \dot{s}_0}{s_0} y_0^2 + \right. \\ \left. + \frac{m_0 \omega_0}{\sin[\omega_0(\tau - \tau_0)]} (y^2 + y_0^2) \cos[\omega_0(\tau - \tau_0)] - 2 y y_0 \right\}_{y=(x-i\eta(t))/s(\tau), \tau=\tau(t)}, \quad (21)$$

where it can be observed that we recall the dependence on $\hbar$, and use the identification $\mu s' = \dot{s}$, where the dot denotes differentiation with respect to t . Furthermore one has a nonformal solution provided that an exact solution of (12) and (16) is known [17]-[25],[28].

Let us now obtain an expression for the wavefunctions directly from the above propagator. For this we make use of the Mehler's formula [30]

$$\frac{\exp \left[-\frac{(a^2 + b^2 - 2abc)}{\sqrt{1-c^2}} \right]}{\sqrt{1-c^2}} = \exp \left[-(a^2 + b^2) \right] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c^n}{2^n n!} H_n(a) H_n(b), \quad (22)$$

with $a \equiv \sqrt{\frac{m_0 \omega_0}{\hbar}} y_0$, $b = \sqrt{\frac{m_0 \omega_0}{\hbar}} y$, $c = \exp \{-i[\omega_0(\tau - \tau_0)]\}$. Moreover, the propagator can be written in its spectral decomposition form

$$K(y, \tau; y_0, \tau_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n^*(y, \tau) \psi(y_0, \tau_0), \quad (23)$$

and from which the wavefunctions can be devised as

$$\psi_n(y, \tau) = \exp \left[-i \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \mu(t) \right] \phi_n(y, \tau), \\ \phi_n(y, \tau) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2^n n!} \sqrt{\frac{m_0 \omega_0}{\pi \hbar s}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\hbar} \left[m_0 \omega_0 + i \frac{m(\tau) \dot{s}}{s} \right] y^2 \right\} \cdot \\ H_n \left(\sqrt{\frac{m_0 \omega_0}{\hbar}} y \right) \Big|_{y=(x-i\eta(t))/s(\tau), \tau=\tau(t)}. \quad (24)$$

In the above expressions H_n stands for the Hermite polynomial.

Let us now treat a simpler particular case, which can be used to get a deep understanding of the problem without unnecessary mathematical complications namely, the case of a usual harmonic oscillator with a time-dependent PT-violating linear potential,

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2 \psi(x, t)}{\partial x^2} + \left[\frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 x^2 + i a t x \right] \psi(x, t) = i \hbar \frac{\partial \psi(x, t)}{\partial t}, \quad (25)$$

where a is an arbitrary real constant. The coordinate and time transformations given in equations (3) and (4) can be simplified by choosing $s(\tau) = \mu(\tau) = 1$, ($\tau = t$) and $\eta(t) = -\frac{at}{m\omega^2}$. Then one ends up with the Schroedinger equation (15) where $\omega_0 = \omega$ and $m_0 = m$, whose solutions in the transformed coordinate looks like simply as

$$\sigma_n(y, t) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2^n n!}} \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\pi \hbar}} e^{-\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar} y^2 - i(n+\frac{1}{2})\omega t} H_n\left(\sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}} y\right). \quad (26)$$

At this point we are able to calculate the energy expectation value of the system. For this we calculate, as usual, the expression

$$\langle E \rangle = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \psi^*(x, t) i \hbar \frac{\partial \psi(x, t)}{\partial t}}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \psi^*(x, t) \psi(x, t)}. \quad (27)$$

After straightforward calculations one can get

$$\langle E \rangle = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \hbar \omega - \hbar \dot{\gamma}(t) - m\omega \dot{\eta} \left[\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \psi^*(x, t) \left(y + 2 \frac{H_{n-1}(y)}{H_n(y)}\right) \psi(x, t)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \psi^*(x, t) \psi(x, t)} \right]_{y=x-i\eta(t)}, \quad (28)$$

where

$$\gamma(t) = \frac{a^2 t}{2\hbar m \omega^4} \left(1 - \frac{\omega^2 t^2}{3}\right).$$

We do not have a general expression for the energy expectation value for an arbitrary eigenstate, but we have verified that they are always real. In fact, the calculation for a particular state can be done straightforwardly and we have, for instance

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | E | 0 \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega - \hbar \dot{\gamma}(t) - \frac{ma}{\omega} \dot{\eta}, \text{ and} \\ \langle 1 | E | 1 \rangle &= \frac{3}{2} \hbar \omega - \hbar \dot{\gamma}(t) - m\omega \dot{\eta} \left[\frac{(3\hbar^2 \omega^4 + 2a^2) - 2a\hbar^{3/2} \omega^{3/2} m^{-1/2}}{(\hbar^2 \omega^4 + 2a^2)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This way we have proven that the reality of the time-dependent energy is maintained despite of the non-Hermiticity of the Hamiltonian. For a non-Hermitian and PT-violating Hamiltonian this is a very intriguing conclusion and demands further investigations on the origin of the reality of the energy in such systems, which can also be strongly considered as candidates for realizations of non-Hermitian interactions. Furthermore, one can note that even with the mapping into a Hermitian time-independent system, Eq. (15), it is not trivial to verify that the original time-dependent system has real energies. In fact, it is necessary to use the wavefunction (6) to calculate the energy expectation value. We are still studying cases where the mass and frequency are constants, looking for the more general time-dependent forces, including PT symmetric ones, that present real spectrum.

Acknowledgments: This work was partially supported by FAPESP, CNPq and CAPES.

References

- [1] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80** (1998) 5243.
- [2] E. Merzbacher, “Quantum Mechanics” (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1970), see page 407.
- [3] E. P. Wigner, “Group Theory and its Applications to the Quantum Mechanics of Atomic Spectra” (Academic Press Inc., New York, 1959).
- [4] Some readers might think, based on the behaviour of stationary states, that the time-reversal operator does not change $t \rightarrow -t$ even for time-dependent Hamiltonians. A very good example that time is reversed in the Hamiltonian is that one of a charged particle interacting with a time-dependent electromagnetic vector potential. See, for example: B. Chern and A. Tubis, Am. J. Phys **35** (1967) 254.
- [5] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Math Phys. **43** (2002) 205; *ibid.* **43** (2002) 2814; *ibid.* **43** (2002) 3944.
- [6] Z. Ahmed, Phys. Lett. A **290** (2001) 19, and references therein.
- [7] P. Dorey, C. Dunning and R. Tateo, J. Phys. A **34** (2001) L391. C. M. Bender, D. C. Brody and H. F. Jones, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** (2002) 270401.
- [8] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, J. Phys. A **31** (1998) L273.
- [9] M. Znojil, F. Cannata, B. Bagchi and R. Roychoudhury, Phys. Lett. B **483** (2000) 284.
- [10] A. Khare and B. P. Mandal, Phys. Lett. A **272** (2000) 53.
- [11] C. M. Bender, P. N. Meisinger and H. Yang, Phys. Rev. D **63** (2001) 045001.
- [12] C. Bernard and V. M. Savage, Phys. Rev. D **64** (2001) 085010.
- [13] P. G. L. Leach, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **34** (1978) 496.
- [14] P. G. L. Leach, J. Phys. A **23** (1990) 2695.
- [15] P. G. L. Leach, J. Math. Phys. **18** (1977) 1902; **21** (1980) 300; **26** (1985) 1613.
- [16] R. K. Colegrave and M. S. Abdalla, Opt. Acta **30** (1983) 861; **28** (1981) 495.
- [17] A. de Souza Dutra and B. K. Cheng, Phys. Rev. A **39** (1989) 5897.
- [18] H. R. Lewis and K. R. Symon, Phys. Fluids **27** (1984) 192.
- [19] C. Farina and A. de Souza Dutra, Phys. Lett. A **123** (1987) 297.
- [20] B. R. Holstein, Am. J. Phys. **57** (1989) 714.
- [21] A. de Souza Dutra, C. Farina and L. C. de Albuquerque, Phys. Lett. A **156** (1991) 371.
- [22] C. F. Lo, Phys. Rev. A **45** (1992) 5262.

- [23] J. Y. Ji, J. K. Kim and S. P. Kim, Phys. Rev. A **51** (1995) 4268.
- [24] I. A. Pedrosa, G. P. Serra and I. Guedes, Phys. Rev. A **56** (1997) 4300.
- [25] I. Guedes, Phys. Rev. A **63** (2001) 034102.
- [26] M. Feng, Phys. Rev. A **64** (2001) 034101.
- [27] I. Sturzu, Phys. Rev. A **64** (2001) 054101.
- [28] A. S. de Castro and A. de Souza Dutra, Phys. Rev. A **67** (2003) 054101.
- [29] R. P. Feynman and A. R. Hibbs, “Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals” (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965).
- [30] “Higher Transcendental Functions”, edited by A. Erdelyi (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1963), vol. 2, p. 194.